Hearing Date And Time: June 30, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time) Response Date And Time: June 23, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time)

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 155 North Wacker Drive Chicago, Illinois 60606 John Wm. Butler, Jr. John K. Lyons Ron E. Meisler

- and -

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Four Times Square New York, New York 10036 Kayalyn A. Marafioti

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., <u>et al.</u>, Reorganized Debtors

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Hotline:

Toll Free: (800) 718-5305 International: (248) 813-2698

DPH Holdings Corp. Legal Information Website:

http://www.dphholdingsdocket.com

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----- x

In re : Chapter 11

----

DPH HOLDINGS CORP., et al., : Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

(Jointly Administered)

Reorganized Debtors.

----- X

REORGANIZED DEBTORS' FORTY-EIGHTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007 TO DISALLOW AND EXPUNGE (A) CERTAIN BOOKS AND RECORDS CLAIMS AND (B) CERTAIN DUPLICATE CLAIMS ASSERTED IN MOTIONS OR REQUESTS FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE

("FORTY-EIGHTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION")

DPH Holdings Corp. ("DPH Holdings") and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (together with DPH Holdings, the "Reorganized Debtors") hereby submit this Forty-Eighth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Disallow And Expunge (A) Certain Books And Records Claims And (B) Certain Duplicate Claims Asserted In Motions Or Requests For Payment Of Administrative Expense (the "Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection" or the "Objection"), and respectfully represent as follows:

### **Background**

## A. The Chapter 11 Filings

- 1. On October 8 and 14, 2005, Delphi Corporation and certain of its affiliates (the "Debtors"), predecessors of the Reorganized Debtors, filed voluntary petitions in this Court for reorganization relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1330, as then amended (the "Bankruptcy Code").
- 2. On December 10, 2007, the Debtors filed their first amended joint plan of reorganization (Docket No. 11386) (the "Plan") and related disclosure statement (Docket No. 11388). The Court entered an order confirming the Plan (as modified) (Docket No. 12359) (the "Confirmation Order") on January 25, 2008, and the order became final on February 4, 2008.
- 3. On October 3, 2008, the Debtors filed a motion under 11 U.S.C. § 1127 for an order approving (i) certain modifications to the Confirmed Plan and related disclosure statement and (ii) related procedures for re-soliciting votes on the Confirmed Plan, as modified (Docket No. 14310) (the "Plan Modification Motion"). On June 1, 2009, the Debtors filed a supplement to the Plan Modification Motion (Docket No. 16646) (the "Motion Supplement"), which sought approval of (i) certain modifications to the Confirmed Plan (the "Modified Plan"), (ii) supplemental disclosure, and (iii) procedures for re-soliciting votes on the Modified Plan.

This Court entered an order approving the Modified Plan (Docket No. 18707) (the "Modification Approval Order") on July 30, 2009.

- 4. On October 6, 2009 (the "Effective Date")<sup>1</sup>, the Debtors substantially consummated the Modified Plan and closed the transactions under the Master Disposition Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2009, by and among Delphi, GM Components Holdings, LLC, General Motors Company, Motors Liquidation Company (f/k/a General Motors Corporation), DIP Holdco 3 LLC (which assigned its rights to DIP Holdco LLP, subsequently renamed Delphi Automotive LLP, a United Kingdom limited liability partnership), and the other sellers and buyers party thereto. In connection therewith, DIP Holdco LLP, through various subsidiaries and affiliates, acquired substantially all of the Debtors' global core businesses, and GM Components Holdings, LLC and Steering Solutions Services Corporation acquired certain U.S. manufacturing plants and the Debtors' non-core steering business, respectively. The Reorganized Debtors have emerged from reorganization as DPH Holdings and affiliates and remain responsible for the post-Effective Date administration of these chapter 11 cases, including the disposition of certain retained assets, the payment of certain retained liabilities as provided for under the Modified Plan, and the eventual closing of the cases.
- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Objection have the meanings ascribed to them in the Modified Plan.

6. The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are sections 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules").

#### B. Administrative Claims Bar Dates

- 7. Pursuant to Article 10.2 of the Modified Plan and paragraph 38 of the Order (A)(I) Approving Modifications To Debtors' First Amended Plan Of Reorganization (As Modified) And Related Disclosures And Voting Procedures And (II) Setting Final Hearing Date To Consider Modifications To Confirmed First Amended Plan Of Reorganization And (B) Setting Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date And Alternative Transaction Hearing Date entered June 16, 2009 (Docket No. 17032) (the "Modification Procedures Order"), this Court established July 15, 2009 (the "July 15 Bar Date") as the bar date for asserting a claim for an administrative expense under section 503(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code (each, an "Administrative Claim") for the period from the commencement of these cases through June 1, 2009. On or before June 20, 2009, in accordance with the Modification Procedures Order, the Debtors caused Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC ("KCC"), the Debtors' claims and noticing agent, and Financial Balloting Group LLC, the Debtors' noticing and voting agent or their agents, to transmit with the resolicitation materials in connection with the Modified Plan a Notice Of Bar Date For Filing Proofs Of Administrative Expense describing the procedures for asserting an Administrative Claim.
- 8. In addition, Articles 1.5 and 10.5 of the Modified Plan established 30 days after the Effective Date (as defined in the Modified Plan) (the "Post-Emergence Bar Date") as the

On July 15, 2009, this Court entered the Stipulation And Agreed Order Modifying Paragraph 38 Of Modification Procedures Order Establishing Administrative Expense Bar Date (Docket No. 18259) to require parties to submit an Administrative Expense Claim Form for Claims for the period from the commencement of these cases through May 31, 2009 rather than through June 1, 2009.

bar date for asserting an Administrative Claim for the period between June 1, 2009 and the Effective Date, unless otherwise ordered by this Court.<sup>3</sup> Because the Effective Date was October 6, 2009, the Post-Emergence Bar Date was November 5, 2009. On or before October 6, 2009, in accordance with the Modified Plan, the Reorganized Debtors caused KCC to transmit to all parties identifying themselves as creditors of the Reorganized Debtors, as well as those holding equity interests in the Reorganized Debtors, a Notice Of (A) Order Approving Modifications To First Amended Joint Plan Of Reorganization Of Delphi Corporation And Certain Affiliates, Debtors And Debtors-In-Possession And (B) Occurrence Of Effective Date. The notice described, among other things, the procedures for asserting an Administrative Claim arising between June 1, 2009 and the Effective Date.

- 9. On or before June 22, 2009, the Debtors published the notice of the July 15 Bar Date in the <u>Detroit News & Free Press</u>, the <u>New York Times</u> (National Edition), the <u>Wall Street Journal</u> (National, Europe, and Asian Editions), and <u>USA Today</u> (Worldwide Edition) and electronically through posting on the then-current Delphi Legal Information Website. After notices of the July 15 Bar Date and Post-Emergence Bar Date were given, 3,222 proofs of administrative expense were filed against the Debtors and the Reorganized Debtors.
- 10. On July 31, 2009, the Debtors filed a Motion For Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) For Order Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Administrative Expense Claims (Docket No. 18715) (the "Administrative Claims Procedures Motion"), in which the Debtors requested that certain claims objection procedures set forth in the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016,

Professional Claims were not subject to the Post-Emergence Bar Date and were instead subject to the provisions of Article 10.3 of the Modified Plan.

7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims entered by this Court on December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order") be applied to the adjudication of Administrative Claims. On October 22, 2009, this Court entered an order granting the Administrative Claims Procedures Motion (Docket No. 18998) (the "Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order").

- Administrative Claims, six of which have been heard by the court.<sup>4</sup> After hearing six of these omnibus objections,<sup>5</sup> this Court disallowed and expunged approximately 2,480 Administrative Claims. In addition, the hearings with respect to approximately 400 Administrative Claims were adjourned pursuant to the Claims Objection Procedures Order and the Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order.
- 12. Pursuant to Article 10.5 of the Modified Plan, the deadline for the Reorganized Debtors to object to Administrative Claims is 180 days after the Post-Emergence Bar Date. At this time, the Reorganized Debtors' have resolved or objected to all proofs of administrative expense filed in these cases. However, throughout these cases, various parties have filed either motions or requests for payment of administrative expense asserting Administrative Claims (the "Motions"). Many of these Motions have previously been withdrawn or are otherwise resolved. For those that remain unresolved, the Reorganized Debtors believe

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Article 9.6(a) of the Modified Plan provides that "[t]he Reorganized Debtors shall retain responsibility for administering, disputing, objecting to, compromising, or otherwise resolving all Claims against, and Interests in, the Debtors and making distributions (if any) with respect to all Claims and Interests . . . ." Modified Plan, art. 9.6.

The sixth of these omnibus objections was heard by this Court on April 22, 2010. The seventh will be heard on May 20, 2010.

that the Administrative Claims asserted in these Motions should be handled pursuant to the claims procedures set forth in the Claims Objection Procedures Order and Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order. For those motions that have corresponding proofs of administrative expense, the Reorganized Debtors believe that the Administrative Claims asserted in such Motions are already subject to the procedures set forth in the Claims Objection Procedures Order and the Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order, but out of an abundance of caution the Reorganized Debtors hereby object to such motions as well. In addition, the Reorganized Debtors have identified additional Motions, including, without limitation, certain requests to supplement previously filed Motions, for which no corresponding claim was filed. Out of an abundance of caution, the Reorganized Debtors object to the claims asserted in such Motions and, to the extent they are not denied by this Objection, request that they be subject to the Claims Objection Procedures Order.

## Relief Requested

13. By this Objection, the Reorganized Debtors object to nine motions or requests for payment of administrative expense<sup>6</sup> (the "Motions") and seek entry of an order pursuant to section 503(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) disallowing and expunging (a) the Motions set forth on Exhibit A hereto because such Motions assert liabilities and dollar amounts that are not reflected on the Reorganized Debtors' books and records and (b) the Motions set forth on Exhibit B hereto because such Motions assert liabilities

All Motions are set forth by movant in alphabetical order on <u>Exhibit C</u> hereto and cross-referenced by docket number of the motion.

and dollar amounts that in certain instances are duplicative of certain proofs of administrative expense.<sup>7</sup>

## **Objections To Claims**

## C. Books And Records Claims

- 14. During their review of the Motions, the Reorganized Debtors determined that certain Motions filed against the Debtors or the Reorganized Debtors, as the case may be, assert liabilities or dollar amounts that are not owing pursuant to the Reorganized Debtors' books and records (the "Books And Records Claims"). Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors believe that the parties asserting Books And Records Claims are not creditors of the Debtors.
- by a preponderance of evidence that the allowance of the Administrative Claim is justified. See

  In re United States Lines, Inc., 103 B.R. 427, 429 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1989); In re Nat'l Steel Corp.

  et al., 316 B.R. 287, 300 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2004); Solow v. Am. Airlines (In re Midway Airlines),

  221 B.R. 411, 446 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 1998); In re Alumni Hotel Corp., 203 B.R. 624, 630 (Bankr.

  E.D. Mich. 1996). Because the holders of Books And Records Claims have failed to do this,

  their Books And Records Claims should be disallowed and expunged.
- 16. Set forth on Exhibit A are the Books And Records Claims that the Reorganized Debtors have identified as Administrative Claims for which the Debtors are not liable. These Books And Records Claims should be disallowed and expunged and the corresponding Motions should be denied. If this Court does not disallow and expunge any

Pursuant to Article 11.1 of the Modified Plan, the Reorganized Debtors now hold the Debtors' books and records.

Books And Records Claim in its entirety, the Reorganized Debtors expressly reserve all of their rights to further object to such Books And Records Claim at a later date on any basis whatsoever.

17. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors (a) object to the Books And Records Claims and (b) seek entry of an order disallowing and expunging the Books And Records Claims in their entirety.

#### D. Duplicate Claims

- 18. During their review of the Motions, the Reorganized Debtors determined that certain Motions assert Administrative Claims that in certain instances are duplicative (the "Duplicate Claims") of proofs of administrative expense filed by such parties (the "Proofs of Administrative Expense") or seek to supplement those claims. Because these Motions assert or seek to supplement previously asserted Administrative Claims, such claims and requests to supplement should be handled according to the claims process set forth by the terms of the Claims Objection Procedures Order and the Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order. The fact that particular claimants have filed Motions provides no reason to treat them differently from or better than other parties that have filed Administrative Claims or to vary from this Court's established procedures.
- 19. Accordingly, the Reorganized Debtors (a) object to the Duplicate Claims on Exhibit B and (b) seek entry of an order disallowing and expunging the Duplicate Claims in their entirety without prejudice to the Proofs of Administrative Expense listed on Exhibit B, which are already subject to the procedures set forth in the Claims Procedures Objection Order and Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order, and denying the corresponding Motions. If this Court does not disallow and expunge any Duplicate Claim in its entirety, the Reorganized Debtors expressly reserve all of their rights to further object to such Duplicate Claim at a later date on any basis whatsoever.

#### **Separate Contested Matters**

20. Pursuant to the Claims Objection Procedures Order and the Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order, (a) if a response to this Objection is filed, the objection to each Administrative Claim covered by such response will be deemed to constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014 and (b) any order entered by this Court with respect to an Administrative Claim addressed by this Objection will be deemed a separate order with respect to each such Administrative Claim.

### Reservation Of Rights

21. The Reorganized Debtors expressly reserve the right to amend, modify, or supplement this Objection and to file additional objections to any other Claims (filed or not) which may be asserted against the Reorganized Debtors, including, without limitation, the right to object to any Claim not subject to this Objection on the basis that it was asserted against the wrong Debtor entity or was untimely filed. Should one or more of the grounds stated in this Objection be dismissed, the Reorganized Debtors reserve their rights to object on other stated grounds or on any other grounds that the Reorganized Debtors may discover. In addition, the Reorganized Debtors reserve the right to seek further reduction of any Administrative Claim to the extent that such Administrative Claim has already been paid.

### Responses To Objections

22. Because the Motions assert Administrative Claims, the Reorganized Debtors request that responses to this Objection be governed by the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order and the Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order. The following summarizes the provisions of the Claims Objection Procedures Order, but is qualified in all respects by the express terms thereof.

## E. Filing And Service Of Responses

23. The Reorganized Debtors propose that, to contest an objection, responses (each, a "Response"), if any, to this Objection must (a) be in writing, (b) conform to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the Southern District of New York, and the Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered March 20, 2006 (Docket No. 2883) (the "Supplemental Case Management Order"), and the Eighteenth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered April 5, 2010 (Docket No. 19774) (together with the Supplemental Case Management Order, the "Case Management Orders"), (c) be filed with this Court in accordance with General Order M-242 (as amended) – registered users of the Court's case filing system must file electronically, and all other parties-in-interest must file on a 3.5 inch disk (preferably in Portable Document Format (PDF), WordPerfect, or any other Windows-based word processing format), (d) be submitted in hard copy form directly to the chambers of the Honorable Robert D. Drain, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, The Hon. Charles L. Brieant Jr. Federal Building and Courthouse, 300 Quarropas Street, Room 116, White Plains, New York 10601-4140, and (e) be served upon (i) DPH Holdings Corp., 5725 Delphi Drive, Troy, Michigan 48098 (Att'n: President) and (ii) counsel to the Reorganized Debtors, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 155 North Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Michael W. Perl), in each case so as to be received no later than 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern time) on June 23, **2010**.

### F. Contents Of Responses

- 24. The Reorganized Debtors further propose that every Response to this Objection must contain at a minimum the following:
  - (a) the title of the claims objection to which the Response is directed;
  - (b) the name of the claimant and a brief description of the basis for the amount of the Administrative Claim;
  - (c) a concise statement setting forth the reasons why the
    Administrative Claim should not be disallowed and expunged,
    including, but not limited to, the specific factual and legal bases
    upon which the claimant will rely in opposing the claims objection;
  - (d) unless already set forth in the Motion previously filed with this Court, documentation sufficient to establish a prima facie right to payment; provided, however, that the claimant need not disclose confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected information in the Response; provided further, however, that the claimant must disclose to the Reorganized Debtors all information and provide copies of all documents that the claimant believes to be confidential, proprietary, or otherwise protected and upon which the claimant intends to rely in support of its Administrative Claim, subject to appropriate confidentiality constraints;
  - (e) to the extent that the Administrative Claim is contingent or fully or partially unliquidated, the amount that the claimant believes would be the allowable amount of such Administrative Claim upon liquidation of the Administrative Claim or occurrence of the contingency, as appropriate; and
  - (f) the address(es) to which the Reorganized Debtors must return any reply to the Response, if different from the address(es) presented in the Administrative Claim.

## G. <u>Timely Response Required</u>

25. If a Response is properly and timely filed and served in accordance with the foregoing procedures, the Debtors propose that, in accordance with the Claims Objection Procedures Order, the hearing on the relevant Administrative Claims covered by the Response will be adjourned to a future hearing, the date of which will be determined by the Reorganized Debtors, by serving notice to the Claimant as provided in the Claims Objection Procedures Order.

With respect to all uncontested objections, the Reorganized Debtors request that this Court conduct a final hearing on June 30, 2010, at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern time). The procedures set forth in the Claims Objection Procedures Order will apply to all Responses and hearings arising from this Objection.

Claims Objection Procedures Order, only those Responses made in writing and timely filed and received will be considered by this Court. If a claimant whose Motion is subject to the Objection and who is served with this Objection fails to file and serve a timely Response in compliance with the foregoing procedures, the Reorganized Debtors may present to this Court an appropriate order seeking relief with respect to such Administrative Claim consistent with the relief sought in this Objection without further notice to the claimant. Provided further, however, that if the claimant files a timely Response which does not include the required minimum information required by the foregoing procedures, the Reorganized Debtors may seek disallowance and expungement of the relevant Administrative Claim or Claims.

#### **Further Information**

27. Questions about this Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection or requests for additional information about the proposed disposition of Administrative Claims hereunder should be directed to the Reorganized Debtors' counsel by e-mail to <a href="mailto:dphholdings@skadden.com">dphholdings@skadden.com</a>, by telephone at 1-800-718-5305, or in writing to Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, 155 North Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606 (Att'n: John Wm. Butler, Jr., John K. Lyons, and Michael W. Perl). Questions regarding the amount of a Claim or the filing of a Administrative Claim should be directed to KCC at 1-888-249-2691 or

www.dphholdingsdocket.com. Claimants should not contact the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court to discuss the merits of their Administrative Claims.

#### Notice

28. Notice of this Objection has been provided in accordance with the Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered March 20, 2006 (Docket No. 2883), and the Eighteenth Supplemental Order Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 102(1) And 105 And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing Omnibus Hearing Dates And Certain Notice, Case Management, And Administrative Procedures, entered April 5, 2010 (Docket No. 19774). The Reorganized Debtors will provide each claimant whose Motion is subject to an objection pursuant to this Objection with a copy of the Claims Objection Procedures Order and the Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order. In light of the nature of the relief requested, the Reorganized Debtors submit that no other or further notice is necessary.

WHEREFORE the Reorganized Debtors respectfully request that this Court enter an order (a) granting the relief requested herein and (b) granting the Reorganized Debtors such other and further relief as is just.

Dated: New York, New York May 4, 2010

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP

By: /s/ John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John Wm. Butler, Jr.
John K. Lyons
Ron E. Meisler
155 North Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606

- and -

By: /s/ Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Kayalyn A. Marafioti
Four Times Square
New York, New York 10036

Attorneys for DPH Holdings Corp., et al., Reorganized Debtors UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re

In re

Chapter 11

Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

Reorganized Debtors.

(Jointly Administered)

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007 DISALLOWING AND EXPUNGING (A) CERTAIN BOOKS AND RECORDS CLAIMS AND (B) CERTAIN DUPLICATE CLAIMS ASSERTED IN MOTIONS OR REQUESTS FOR PAYMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSE

### ("FORTY-EIGHTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION ORDER")

Upon the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Objection Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 503(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Disallow And Expunge (A) Certain Books And Records Claims And (B) Certain Duplicate Claims Asserted In Motions Or Requests For Payment Of Administrative Expense (the "Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection" or the "Objection") of DPH Holdings Corp. and certain of its affiliated reorganized debtors in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Reorganized Debtors"), dated May 4, 2010; and upon the record of the hearing held on the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection.

## IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:<sup>2</sup>

- A. Each holder of a claim for an administrative expense under section 503(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code (each, an "Administrative Claim") listed on Exhibits A and B hereto was properly and timely served with a copy of the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection, the proposed order granting the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection, and notice of the deadline for responding to the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection. No other or further notice of the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection is necessary.
- B. This Court has jurisdiction over the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims
  Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection
  is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Forty-Eighth
  Omnibus Claims Objection in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- C. The Administrative Claims asserted in the Motions listed on Exhibit A assert liabilities and dollar amounts that are not owing pursuant to the Reorganized Debtors' books and records (the "Books And Records Claims").
- D. The Administrative Claims asserted in the Motions listed on <u>Exhibit B</u> are duplicative of, or seek to supplement, other Administrative Claims (the "Duplicate Claims").
- E. Exhibit C hereto sets forth each of the Motions referenced on Exhibits A and B in alphabetical order by movant and cross-references each Administrative Claim asserted in the Motions by (i) docket number of the Motion and (ii) basis of objection.
- F. Good cause exists to apply the procedures set for in the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014

Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052.

Establishing (I) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (II) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims entered by this Court on December 7, 2006 (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order") and the Order Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) And 503(b) Authorizing Debtors To Apply Claims Objection Procedures To Address Contested Administrative Expense Claims (Docket No. 18998) (the "Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order") to the motions or requests for payment of administrative expense (the "Motions").

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- The Claims Objection Procedures Order and the Administrative Claims
   Objection Procedures Order shall apply to all Administrative Claims and requests to supplement
   Administrative Claims asserted in the Motions that are subject to the Objection.
- Each Books And Records Claim asserted in the Motions listed on <u>Exhibit</u>
   A hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 3. Each Duplicate Claim asserted in the Motions listed on <u>Exhibit B</u> hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety.
- 4. Entry of this order is without prejudice to the Reorganized Debtors' rights to object, on any grounds whatsoever, to any other administrative expense claims in these chapter 11 cases or to further object to Administrative Claims that are the subject of the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection, except as such claims may have been settled and allowed.
- 5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Reorganized Debtors and the holders of Administrative Claims subject to the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.

05-44481-rdd Doc 19976 Filed 05/04/10 Entered 05/04/10 18:23:15 Main Document Pg 19 of 23

> 6. Each of the objections by the Reorganized Debtors to each Administrative

Claim addressed in the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection and attached hereto as Exhibits

A and B constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014. This

order shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each Administrative Claim that is the

subject of the Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection. Any stay of this order shall apply only

to the contested matter which involves such Administrative Claim and shall not act to stay the

applicability or finality of this order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC is hereby directed to serve this order,

including exhibits, in accordance with the Claims Objection Procedures Order and the

Administrative Claims Objection Procedures Order.

Dated: White Plains, New York

June , 2010

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

4

05-44481-rdd Doc 19976 Filed 05/04/10 Entered 05/04/10 18:23:15 Main Document Pg 20 of 23

In re DPH Holdings Corp., <u>et al.</u> Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) **Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection** 

# EXHIBIT A – BOOKS AND RECORDS CLAIMS

CREDITOR'S NAME	DOCKET NUMBER	ASSERTED ADMINISTRATIVE CLAIM AMOUNT	DATE FILED	DOCKETED DEBTOR(S)
ATEL LEASING CORPORATION ATTN V MORAIS OR R WILDER 600 CALIFORNIA ST 6TH FL SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108	6990	UNLIQUIDATED	07/28/2006	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)
COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION RAYMOND J URBANIK ESQ MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR PC 3800 LINCOLN PLAZA 500 N AKARD ST DALLAS, TX 75201	16601	\$28,823,485.00	05/06/2009	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)
KILROY REALTY, L.P. ALAN MARDER ESQ ROSEN SLOME MARDER LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD 9TH FL UNIONDALE, NY 11553-3622	4869	UNLIQUIDATED	08/07/2006	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC (05-44640) AND PACKARD HUGHES INTERCONNECT COMPANY (05-44626)
OKALOOSA COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR PO BOX 1390 NICEVILLE, FL 32588- 1390	7588	UNLIQUIDATED	04/06/2007	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)
POLK COUNTY PO BOX 1189 LAKELAND, FL 33831	7259	\$1,530.33	03/13/2007	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)

05-44481-rdd Doc 19976 Filed 05/04/10 Entered 05/04/10 18:23:15 Main Document Pg 21 of 23

In re DPH Holdings Corp., <u>et al.</u> Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

# **Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection**

# **EXHIBIT B – DUPLICATE CLAIMS**

CLAIM TO BE EXPUNGED / MOTION TO BE DENIED		SURVIVING CLAIM		
Docket: No. 16655 Debtor:	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)	Claim: 18667	Debtor:	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)
Date Filed: 06/04/2009		Date Filed: 07/14/2009		
Movant:		Creditor's Name:		
CENTRAL TRANSPORT INTERNATIONAL, INC. GEOFFREY T PAVLIC ESQ STEINBERG SHAPIRO & CLARK 24901 NORTHWESTERN HWY STE 611 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075		CENTRAL TRANSPORT INTERNATIONAL, INC. GEOFFREY T PAVLIC ESQ STEINBERG SHAPIRO & CLARK 24901 NORTHWESTERN HWY STE 611 SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075	Secured: Priority: Administrative: Unsecured:	\$89,972.35
Total Asserted:	¢90.072.25		Total:	\$89,972.35
Docket: No 16601 Debtor:  Date Filed: 05/06/2009	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)	Claim: 19166  Date Filed: 07/15/2009	Debtor:	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)
Movant:		Creditor's Name:		
COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION RAYMOND J URBANIK ESQ MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR PC 3800 LINCOLN PLAZA 500 N AKARD ST DALLAS, TX 75201-6659		COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION RAYMOND J URBANIK ESQ MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR PC 3800 LINCOLN PLAZA 500 N AKARD ST DALLAS, TX 75201-6659	Secured: Priority: Administrative: Unsecured:	\$31,391,478.00
Total Asserted:	\$28,823,485.00		Total:	\$31,391,478.00
Docket: No.         16548         Debtor:           Date Filed:         04/03/2009           Movant:	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)	Claim: 18700  Date Filed: 07/14/2009  Creditor's Name:	Debtor:	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)
CSX TRANSPORT, INC. DANIEL F BLANKS ESQ MCGUIREWOODS LLP 9000 WORLD TRADE CENTER 101 W MAIN ST NORFOLK, VA 23510		CSX TRANSPORT, INC. DANIEL F BLANKS ESQ MCGUIREWOODS LLP 9000 WORLD TRADE CENTER 101 W MAIN ST NORFOLK, VA 23510	Secured: Priority: Administrative: Unsecured:	\$103,986.16
Total Asserted:	\$259,590.00		Total:	\$103,986.16

In re DPH Holdings Corp., <u>et al.</u> Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)

# **Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection**

# **EXHIBIT B – DUPLICATE CLAIMS**

CLAIM TO BE EXPUNGED / MOTION TO BE DENI	ED	SURVIVING CLAIM		
	otor: DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)	Claim: 19505	Debtor:	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)
Date Filed: 07/30/2009		Date Filed: 07/30/2009		
Movant:		Creditor's Name:		
FURUKAWA ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. DENNIS J CONNOLLY ALSTON & BIRD LLP ONE ATLANTIC CTR 1201 W PEACHTREE ST ATLANTA, GA 30309-3424		FURUKAWA ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. DENNIS J CONNOLLY ALSTON & BIRD LLP ONE ATLANTIC CTR 1201 W PEACHTREE ST ATLANTA, GA 30309-3424	Secured: Priority: Administrative: Unsecured:	UNLIQUIDATED
	. 1		Total:	UNLIQUIDATED
Docket: No. 19783 De	ted: \$1,172,119.97  DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)	Claim: 19505	Debtor:	DELPHI CORPORATION (05-44481)
Date Filed: 04/06/2010		Date Filed: 07/30/2009		
Movant:		Creditor's Name:		
FURUKAWA ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. DENNIS J CONNOLLY ALSTON & BIRD LLP ONE ATLANTIC CTR		FURUKAWA ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. DENNIS J CONNOLLY ALSTON & BIRD LLP ONE ATLANTIC CTR	Secured: Priority:	
1201 W PEACHTREE ST ATLANTA, GA 30309-3424		1201 W PEACHTREE ST ATLANTA, GA 30309-3424	Administrative: Unsecured:	UNLIQUIDATED
Total Asse	ted: \$1,548,770.97		Total:	UNLIQUIDATED
Docket: No. 4869 De	otor: DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC (05-44640) AND PACKARD HUGHES INTERCONNECT COMPANY (05-44626)	Claim: 13268	Debtor:	DELPHI AUTOMOTIVE SYSTEMS LLC (05-44640)
Date Filed: 08/07/2006		Date Filed: 07/31/2006		
Movant:		Creditor's Name:		
KILROY REALTY, L.P. ALAN MARDER ESQ ROSEN SLOME MARDER LLP		KILROY REALTY, L.P. ALAN MARDER ESQ ROSEN SLOME MARDER LLP	Secured: Priority:	
333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD 9TH FL		333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD 9TH FL	Administrative:	
UNIONDALE, NY 11553-3622		UNIONDALE, NY 11553-3622	Unsecured:	\$2,186,444.67
Total Asse	rted: UNLIQUIDATED		Total:	\$2,186,444.67

Case No. 05-44481 (RDD) 05-44481-rdd Doc 19976 Filed 05/04/10 Entered 05/04/10 18:23:15 Main Document

Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection

Exhibit C - Movants And Related Motions Subject To Forty-Eighth Omnibus Claims Objection 23 of 23

	Docket	
Movant	Number	Exhibit
ATEL LEASING CORPORATION ATTN V MORAIS OR R WILDER 600		
CALIFORNIA ST 6TH FL SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108	6990	EXHIBIT A - BOOKS AND RECORDS CLAIMS
CENTRAL TRANSPORT INTERNATIONAL, INC. GEOFFREY T PAVLIC ESQ		
STEINBERG SHAPIRO & CLARK 24901 NORTHWESTERN HWY STE 611		
SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075	16655	EXHIBIT B - DUPLICATE CLAIMS
COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION RAYMOND J URBANIK ESQ MUNSCH		
HARDT KOPF & HARR PC 3800 LINCOLN PLAZA 500 N AKARD ST DALLAS, TX		EXHIBIT A - BOOKS AND RECORDS CLAIMS
75201-6659	16601	EXHIBIT B - DUPLICATE CLAIMS
CSX TRANSPORT, INC. DANIEL F BLANKS ESQ MCGUIREWOODS LLP 9000		
WORLD TRADE CENTER 101 W MAIN ST NORFOLK, VA 23510	16548	EXHIBIT B - DUPLICATE CLAIMS
FURUKAWA ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. DENNIS J CONNOLLY ALSTON &		
BIRD LLP ONE ATLANTIC CTR 1201 W PEACHTREE ST ATLANTA, GA 30309-		
3424	18706	EXHIBIT B - DUPLICATE CLAIMS
FURUKAWA ELECTRIC COMPANY, LTD. DENNIS J CONNOLLY ALSTON &		
BIRD LLP ONE ATLANTIC CTR 1201 W PEACHTREE ST ATLANTA, GA 30309-		
3424	19783	EXHIBIT B - DUPLICATE CLAIMS
KILROY REALTY, L.P. ALAN MARDER ESQ ROSEN SLOME MARDER LLP 333		EXHIBIT A - BOOKS AND RECORDS CLAIMS
EARLE OVINGTON BLVD 9TH FL UNIONDALE, NY 11553-3622	4869	EXHIBIT B - DUPLICATE CLAIMS
OKALOOSA COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR PO BOX 1390 NICEVILLE, FL 32588-		
1390	7588	EXHIBIT A - BOOKS AND RECORDS CLAIMS
POLK COUNTY PO BOX 1189 LAKELAND, FL 33831	7259	EXHIBIT A - BOOKS AND RECORDS CLAIMS